

November 24, 1970

SETL Newsletter #7

Dave Shields

This newsletter contains a number of suggestions for improvements and modifications of SETL. The numbering follows that of newsletter #3

OPERATORS UNDERLINED

(13) It is suggested that named operators be underlined and not indicated by a suffixed period, as at present. Thus `and.` is now `and`, `or.` is `or`, etc.

(14) COMMENTS OVERLINED. It is suggested that comments be indicated by overlines, that is, draw a line over a comment (interior spaces included).

(15) MACRO PARAMETERS. The only SETL macro feature currently defined is the macro block of the form

```
do macroname; ...block macroname; body; end macroname; .
```

We now allow parameters in the macro which can be lexical names. When the macro is expanded, the argument names are substituted for the names in the macro body. For example,

```
block macro(a,b); a= *a or *b; b=nl; end macro;
...
prev;
macro(c,d); next; ...
```

results in

```
prev; c=*c or *d; d=nl; next;...
```

THIS PROVISION IS TO AID IN THE CLARITY OF PROGRAMS

(16) ADD = TO EXTRACTION STATEMENT. Assignment statements of the form "`<extractor> data;`" are to be written "`<extractor> = data;`" if the extractor contains no asterisk (in which case it has a value)

(17) ABBREVIATED FORM FOR MATHEMATICAL UNION, INTERSECTION.

The mathematical form of union, $\bigcup_{x \in a} f(x)$, which in SETL is $\{y, x \in a, y \in f(x)\}$, may be abbreviated $\{f(x) \underline{u}, x \in a\}$.

Similarly, the intersection may be abbreviated, using int for u.

(18) SEQUENCES. ~~It is recommended~~ *Observe* that sequences be included in SETL; ~~this is not done~~ *a convenient* "sequence former" ~~operator~~ *may be added.* We interpret the sequence

1) $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i, \dots$

to be equivalent to the SETL set

2) $\{ \langle 1, a_1 \rangle, \langle 2, a_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle i, a_i \rangle, \dots \}$.

Details on ~~the~~ *former operation* sequence will be forthcoming; for the

present, SETL users are encouraged to use SETL sets of the form 2), where appropriate, to facilitate conversion to sequences.

(19) OPTIONAL FORM TO INDICATE STATE SET LABELS.

Statement labels may be optionally set off in brackets ([,]) to improve readability. Thus "label:next;" may be written "[label] next;".

(20) EXHIBITED DEFINITION OF IMAGE-SET CONSTRUCTION.

The image set construct, $f(a)$, defined on page 23 of SETL notes, now requires that f be a variable ~~whose~~ *(or function)* whose value is a set. This restriction is to be removed, so that we only require that f is a SETL expression with a ~~set~~ *(or function)* as its value.

For example, we can now write

go to $\{ \langle a, lab1 \rangle, \langle b, lab2 \rangle \}$ (data); .

(21) SUBROUTINE EXPRESSIONS. It is suggested that "process" expressions of type subroutine be added to SETL. That is, components of a SETL expression may be a process which is to applied to the associated argument list. For example, the statement

```
proc f d; c=d; (while pair c) <*, c>c;; return c; [a];  
                                     endif
```

defines a process of one argument, which is to applied to each of the elements of the set a .

(22) EXTENDED FORM FOR INTEGER RANGE RESTRICTIONS.

It is suggested that the range restriction for iteration over a set of integers, which is now of form

1) $\min \leq \forall j \leq \max$

be extended to allow any of the following additional forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \min < \forall j < \max & \quad \min \leq \forall j < \max & \quad \max \geq \forall j \geq \min \\ \max > \forall j \geq \min & \quad \max \geq \forall j > \min & \quad . \end{aligned}$$

Note that the loop variable j assumes the values defined by the restriction from left to right; for example, $5 > \forall j \geq 2$ results in $j=4,3,2$, in that order.

(23) ITERATION OVER EMPTY SETS. Iteration over an empty set is allowed, in which case the corresponding block is not executed. Thus in the sequence

```
prev; (forall) block; next; ,
```

control will pass to statement next after executing prev, ignoring block, since the set of values assumed by the loop variable y is empty.

If you have any comments on the proposed modifications of SETL or any new modifications, please see Dave Shields (room 423, CIMS, phone 460-7437).

We are trying to collect oft-used SETL ~~programs~~ subroutines and functions into a library, so we can avoid constant recoding of the same common set operations and to establish standard names and calling sequences. If you have any routines which you think should be in such a library, please see Dave Shields.

Note that SETL is to be defined in three forms: a documentation version for use in specifying algorithms and writing them out; a keypunchable version, with a minimal character set, for input of SETL programs to a SETL processor; and a console version, for use of SETL from a terminal, which is to have a "dense" character set, so that SETL programs can be expressed in a small number of characters.

NEW MULTI-ASSIGNMENT STATEMENT

(24) The multi-assignment statement is of the form

$\langle \text{extractor} \rangle \underline{\text{data}}$,

where data is a SETL expression whose value is a tuple, and where extractor contains names of SETL variables and associated expressions which define an association of each name with the corresponding part of data. For each name, there is a structural reference to a part of data; this reference is either defined implicitly by the position of the name within the extractor, or explicitly by the value of an associated SETL expression.

In its simplest form the extractor consists of a certain number, say k , of variables and some number (possibly zero) of minus signs, say $n-k$:

$\langle s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \rangle$.

Assume that data is an m -tuple and that the m th component of data is not an ordered pair (since in this case data is also an $(m+1)$ -tuple). If s_i is a name, then assign as value to name the corresponding i th component of name if $i \leq m$, or Ω otherwise; if s_n is a name, then view data as an n -tuple if $n \leq m$, and proceed as above, else assign the value Ω . If, on the other hand, s_n is a minus sign, then we say that we have cut the extractor; in this case we view data as a $(j+1)$ -tuple, where s_j is the rightmost name in the extractor. That is, if the extractor is cut, then the rightmost name is assigned as value either a single component of data, or else Ω .

For example, $\langle x y \rangle \langle a b c d \rangle$ results in $x=a; y = \langle b c d \rangle$;
while the cut assignment $\langle x y \rightarrow \langle a b c d \rangle$ results
in $x=a; y=b$.

~~Optionally~~, names in the extractor ^{must} ~~may~~ be
separated by commas, ~~to improve readability~~.
Also, the extractor may have components of the form
(expn)- , which are equivalent to e consecutive
minus signs, where expn has a value the positive
integer e. Thus the following are equivalent:

$$\langle x, -, -, -, y \rangle, \langle x, 3-, y \rangle, \langle x, 2-, 1-, y \rangle.$$

Note that in this simple form of the extractor,
~~all~~ the structural reference of a variable is
determined implicitly by its position within the
exptactor. To indicate explicitly the component
of data that is to be referenced by a variable,
(read "name is exp")
we write name z. exp. In this case we require
that exp have as value a nonnegative integer p.

If $p=0$, then no assignment is made to name, but
the extractor is cut. For example, both statements

$$\langle x - y \rightarrow \langle a b c d \rangle \rangle, \langle x, w z. 0, y \rangle \langle a b c d \rangle,$$

result in $x=a, y=c$. Note also that explicit
assignments do affect the positional value of
implicit assignments; for example, in both
of the assignments above, y references the third
component, even though in the second assignment,
no value is assigned to w.

The the names in the extractor are assigned values as follows:

(a) Evaluate the reference expressions for all explicit components of the extractor. Let maxexp be the maximum value so obtained, and minexp the minimum value.

(b) Determine the components of data referenced by each implicit component of the extractor.

Let maximp be the maximum (rightmost) component of data so referenced, and minimp the minimum.

~~Let maxminus be the maximum component of data referenced by a minus sign.~~

(c) Let max^mvar = $\max(\text{maxexp}, \text{maximp})$; this is the rightmost component of data referenced by a variable. If the rightmost component of the extractor is a minus sign, or if $\text{minexp}=0$, then cut the extractor.

(d) If the extractor is cut, view data as a $(\text{max} + 1)$ -tuple; if the extractor is not cut, view data as a max^m-tuple.

(e) Assign as value to each name in the extractor the corresponding component of data, where the number of components in data is determined by $d()$.

For example, let $data = \langle 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 \rangle$. Then
 " $\langle a - c \rangle data$ " results in $a=10, c=\langle 30, 40, 50 \rangle$;
 $\langle a - c -> data$; results in $a=10, c=30$, since the
 extractor is cut by the last minus; $\langle a, d z.4, c, e z.0 \rangle data$
 results in $a=10, d=40, c=30$, with no assignment to e ;
 if $a=33$ then $\langle a z.2, b z.(a/3+2), -> data$ results
 in $a=20, b=30$, where by a) all explicit references
 are calculated before any assignments, so that the
 value of a used in calculating the reference for b
 is the value of a before the assignment to a
 indicated the extractor is performed.

At most one name in the extractor may be
 replaced by the symbol $*$, in which case the *left-hand side of the*
 assignment statement *becomes an operator having* ~~has~~ a value which is the
 component of data referenced by $*$. Since such
 multi-assignment *operators* ~~statements~~ (with a $*$) have a value, and
~~they~~ may be elements of SETL expressions.

If a name occurring in an extractor *is undefined or* has a
 set as its value, then we allow indexed assignments
 to "name" of the form $name(iexp)$ or $name\{iexp\}$.
 If c is the component of data referenced by name,
 then the indexed assignments are respectively equivalent
 to $name(iexp)=c$ and $name\{iexp\} = c$ (c must be a set).
 For example if $data = \langle 10, \{20, 30\}, 40 \rangle$ and $x = \{1, 100\}$,
 then $\langle x(1)z.3 -> data$ results in $x = \{1, 30\}$; and
 $\langle x\{1\}z.2 -> data$ results in $x = \{1, 20\}, \{1, 30\}$.

More complex 'nested' extractors will be allowed; details will follow.